

Nos. 22O145 & 22O146, Original

---

---

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

---

STATE OF DELAWARE, PLAINTIFF,

*v.*

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA AND  
STATE OF WISCONSIN, DEFENDANTS.

---

**ANSWER OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN  
TO THE BILL OF COMPLAINT OF THE  
STATE OF DELAWARE**

---

BRAD D. SCHIMEL  
Attorney General

MISHA TSEYTLIN  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
17 West Main Street  
Madison, WI 53703  
*tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us*  
(608) 267-9323

DANIEL P. LENNINGTON  
Deputy Solicitor General

Attorneys for the State of Wisconsin

---

---

## **ANSWER**

The State of Wisconsin, through its Attorney General Brad D. Schimel, answers the Bill of Complaint filed by the State of Delaware as follows:

1. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

2. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

3. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

4. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

5. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

6. Wisconsin neither admits nor denies the allegations in this paragraph, as they constitute an argument regarding a decision of this Court, which speaks for itself.

7. Wisconsin neither admits nor denies the allegations in this paragraph, as they constitute an argument regarding a decision of this Court, which speaks for itself.

8. Wisconsin neither admits nor denies the allegations in this paragraph, as they constitute an argument regarding a federal statute, which speaks for itself.

9. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

10. Wisconsin denies as untrue any allegation that the Disposition of Abandoned Money Orders and Traveler's Checks Act does not apply to MoneyGram's Official Checks, but is without information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations regarding what MoneyGram "determined" or whether "Delaware concurs."

11. Wisconsin denies as untrue the allegations in this paragraph.

12. Wisconsin admits that Official Checks may differ from money orders in some ways not material to this litigation, but Wisconsin denies as untrue any allegations in this paragraph suggesting that a MoneyGram Official Check is not a "money order, traveler's check, or other similar written instrument (other than a third party bank check) on which a banking or financial organization or a business association is directly liable" under 12 U.S.C. § 2503.

13. Wisconsin admits that Official Checks may differ from traveler's checks in some ways, but Wisconsin denies as untrue any allegations in this

paragraph suggesting that a MoneyGram Official Check is not a “money order, traveler’s check, or other similar written instrument (other than a third party bank check) on which a banking or financial organization or a business association is directly liable” under 12 U.S.C. § 2503.

14. Wisconsin denies any allegation in this paragraph suggesting or implying that a MoneyGram Official Check is not a “money order, traveler’s check, or other similar written instrument (other than a third party bank check) on which a banking or financial organization or a business association is directly liable” under 12 U.S.C. § 2503.

15. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

16. Wisconsin is without information necessary to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

17. Wisconsin admits the allegations in this paragraph.

18. Wisconsin is without information necessary to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

19. Wisconsin admits that Delaware was required to respond to Wisconsin’s complaint, but Wisconsin is without information necessary to admit or deny the allegations concerning Delaware’s intentions.

20. Wisconsin is without information necessary to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

21. Wisconsin is without information necessary to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

22. Wisconsin is without information necessary to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph.

Wherefore, Wisconsin respectfully requests that the relief requested in Delaware's Bill of Complaint be denied. Furthermore, Wisconsin requests that the relief requested in its Counterclaim be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAD D. SCHIMEL  
Attorney General

MISHA TSEYTLIN  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
17 West Main Street  
Madison, WI 53703  
*tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us*  
(608) 267-9323

DANIEL P. LENNINGTON  
Deputy Solicitor General

Attorneys for the State of Wisconsin

October 2016